

EPA Official Record

Notes ID: D5A1509EB20D1EFB852577DD00671824

From: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

To: kristin.decas@ci.new-bedford.ma.us

Copy To: Cynthia Catri/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; David Peterson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; paul.craffey@state.ma.us; joseph.coyne@state.ma.us; Larry Brill/R1/USEPA/US@EPA; jborkland@apexcos.com

Delivered Date: 02/16/2007 11:45 AM EDT

Subject: phone call follow-up

Hi Kristin - thanks for speaking with me today, its encouraging to know that the HDC shares EPA's goal of finding ways to shorten the length of the harbor PCB cleanup. In case it helps your records, the three things we discussed are:

1. EPA may be interested in cost-sharing the phase 4 CAD cell, with an interest potentially in disposal of about 150,000 cy of Superfund material (from the lower harbor) into the phase 4 CAD cell. EPA would have to complete its public process for modifying the remedy, and we'd need to find an appropriate funding vehicle for the cost-sharing (likely a cooperative agreement between EPA and either the city or the HDC).
2. EPA will likely be interested in disposing about 6,000 cy of sand and coarse-grained material (which gets separated from our dredge slurry at our Sawyer St. facility) in this year's CAD cell. Some kind of disposal fee (and a funding mechanism to pay it) will have to be determined, if this approach indeed gets implemented.
3. EPA is interested in continuing the underwater capping pilot study at PCB-contaminated sediments just south of the hurricane barrier (near the Cornell-Dubilier mill), using clean sand from this year's CAD cell. In concept, past discussions have centered on the need for EPA to pay any increase in costs for this capping above what it would cost the HDC to send the sand to an offshore disposal site. At this point, however, its unclear if a funding mechanism is available to EPA that will allow this cost-sharing. We'll keep you updated as we continue to pursue this.

At a minimum, as we've discussed at past SER meetings, the HDC's procurement for the phase 3 CAD cell excavation should have line item bid amounts for both disposal at sea and disposal as a near-shore cap near Cornell-Dubilier. Having these two bid amounts will provide the information for a potential cost-share approach, and will allow the HDC the contractual ability to implement either approach.

Thanks again, hope this helps.

Dave D.
617 918 1329